to a large extent for example the highschool drop out. Here you are taking a rather arbitrary classification of those people who are in elementary or secondary education. elementary school, I don't imagine that there are many people employed in any capacity that would entitle them to a remuneration of the minimum wage. I think that when you get into the secondary highschool, the highschool level, the Senior or Junior or above, those people are participating in a labor market and in fact competing with other people who need those jobs for substance. Those people deserve a certain amount of protection from the highschool attendant who is pursuing his employment probably for some other reason, beneficial reason, which is for him to learn the value of work and then of his labor and occupy his spare time. There are other people competing for these jobs, for dishwashers, stock boys and who need these jobs. The effect of this would be that an employer could at a much lower rate probably at 70, 80, 90¢ an hour employ a high-school senior or junior to do the work that some other individual who is not in highschool or is past highschool age would...but whose working ability only allow him to perform in these types of jobs and that he depends upon these types of jobs for his existence. So the effect of this is really to destroy all protection of that individual to compete equally in the labor market for jobs then at this level. I don't a...a...I think that this is a very terrible impact. Senator Carstens, in all sincerity has not given adequate consideration to in that he has only been approached and has only viewed this fromthe point of the rootbeer stand and the ice cream stand when in fact this bill has a much broader impact of those people working in low income jobs. I would urge that this bill be indefinitely postponed.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: I would have to agree with Senator Chambers motion. First off I would have to say that it is unfortunate the way the bill came out and was printed and distributed to the public. It was a bill that was going to create new exceptions or rather remove exceptions to the minimum wage and the bill that came out of committee was a totally different bill but reinstated some exceptions that Senator Chambers had removed last year. I don't think that a time of high unemployment that we should create a pool of cheap labor which is what this bill would do. Last year Senator Chambers brought in needed and a long over due increase in the state minimum wage and brought in some people that should have long ago been broung in under the minimum wage law. When we talk about the state minimum wage we must remember that we are talking about something that is 60-70¢ less than the Federal Minimum wage. We are to make available highschool students or other individuals at a wage less than this, we are talking about people who could work for little as 50-60¢. This would be creating a pool of very cheap labor. We already have a very high unemployment rate and a number of adults who need to support families will be looking for jobs. If we give an incentive to business to hire other individuals we will further accentuate this problem. I would support the motion to indefinitely postpone this bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator DeCamp.